United States District Court

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: SAN FRANCISCO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

٧.

FILED

MAY - 4 2017

SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUSHOVAN TAREQUE HUSSAIN,

CR 16-462 CRB

DEFENDANT(S).

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud;
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud;
18 U.S.C. § 1348 - Securities Fraud;
18 U.S.C. § 2 - Aiding, Abetting, and Willfully Causing; and
18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C) & 982(a) & 28 U.S.C. § 2461 – Criminal Forfeiture

A true bill.	illians
•	Foreman
Filed in open court this4	day of
May 2017	
Chroning M	Melinda Lozenski
SALLIE KIM Inited States Magistrate Judge	Clerk
	Bail, \$ no present
shur	

AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☐ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud; Petty	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1348 - Securities Fraud; Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S
18 U.S.C. § 2 - Aiding, Abetting, and Willfully Causing; and	
18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C) & 982(a) & 28 U.S.C. § 2461 – Wilson mear	1 1 1
▼ Felor	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: Maximum penalties for all counts; 20 years of Imprisonment (18 U.S.C. §§ 1349, 1343);	
\$250,000 fine or twice gross gain or loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571(b)(3);	CR 16-462 CRB MAY - 42017
3 years of supervised release (18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(2)); and \$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)	
	DEFENDANT SUSAN Y. SOONO
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COL
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. 1) X If not detained give date any prior
Federal Bureau of Investigation	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	-
give name of court	Z) Is a ruguive
	3) Son Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) Cn this charge
this is a reprosecution of	
charges previously dismissed	5) On another conviction
which were dismissed on motion of:	
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNET DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	
pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO. ■ CASE NO.	been filed? No filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	ARREST 7
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form ALEX TSE	TO U.S. CUSTODY *
U.S. Attorney Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) Robert S. Leach	
PROCESS:	FORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
	Rail Amount: Name
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
- ordinant radiood,	
	Date/Time: Before Judge:

ALEX TSE (CABN 152348) 1 Attorney for the United States, 2 Acting Under Authority Conferred By 28 U.S.C. § 515 3 4 FILED 5 MAY - 4 2017 6 **SUSAN Y. SOONG** CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. CR-16-462 CRB 11 12 Plaintiff. <u> VIOLATIONS</u>: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. 13 v. § 1348 – Securities Fraud; 18 U.S.C. $\S~2$ – Aiding, Abetting, and Willfully SUSHOVAN TAREQUE HUSSAIN, 14 Causing; 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C) & 982(a) & 28 U.S.C. § 2461 – Criminal 15 Defendant. Forfeiture 16 SAN FRANCISCO VENUE 17 SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT 18 19 The Grand Jury charges: 20 **Introductory Allegations** 21 At all times relevant to this Superseding Indictment, unless otherwise indicated: 22 Autonomy Corporation plc Autonomy Corporation plc ("Autonomy") was a company incorporated in England and 23 1. Wales with a registered office in Cambridge, United Kingdom. Autonomy was the holding company of 24 a group of companies engaged in software development and distribution. Autonomy maintained dual 25 headquarters in San Francisco, California, and Cambridge. 26 27 28 SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT CR-16-462 CRB

- 2. Autonomy's major subsidiaries included Autonomy, Inc. ("AU Inc."), with offices in San Francisco and San Jose, California; Interwoven, Inc. ("Interwoven"), with offices in San Jose; and ZANTAZ, Inc. ("Zantaz"), with offices in Pleasanton, California.
- 3. Autonomy was a public company whose shares were listed on the London Stock Exchange under the trading symbol "AU" and were bought, held, and sold by individuals and entities throughout the United States. In 2010, \$592,358,000 of Autonomy's \$870,366,000 in reported revenues (approximately 68%) came from the United States and other countries in the Americas.

B. <u>The Defendant</u>

- 4. Defendant SUSHOVAN TAREQUE HUSSAIN was a resident of the United Kingdom. From approximately June 2001 until November 2011, he was Chief Financial Officer of Autonomy. He also was a director of Autonomy from approximately June 2003 until November 2011. HUSSAIN was a qualified Chartered Accountant.
- 5. As Autonomy's most senior finance officer, HUSSAIN was responsible for the preparation of Autonomy's financial statements.

C. <u>Hewlett-Packard Company</u>

- 6. Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") was a Delaware corporation with principal executive offices in Palo Alto, California. HP provided computing and imaging products, technologies, software, and services to customers.
- 7. HP was a public company whose shares were listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol "HPQ" and were bought, held, and sold by individuals and entities throughout the United States. HP securities were registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

D. HP's Purchase of Autonomy

8. On or about August 18, 2011, HP and Hewlett-Packard Vision B.V. ("HP Vision"), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of HP, entered into an Offer Agreement with Autonomy and publicly announced an offer to acquire Autonomy for approximately \$11 billion.

- 9. On or about August 18, 2011, in a press release announcing the acquisition, HP emphasized that "Autonomy's recent operating and financial performance has been strong." HP also stated that "[o]ver the last five years, Autonomy has grown its revenues at a compound annual growth rate of approximately 55 percent and adjusted operating profit at a rate of approximately 83 percent." Among the acquisition's "[s]trategic and financial benefits," HP said Autonomy would enhance HP's financial profile because "Autonomy's strong growth and profit margin profile complement[ed] HP's efforts to improve its business mix by focusing on enterprise software and solutions. Autonomy [had] ... a consistent track record of double-digit revenue growth, with 87 percent gross margins and 43 percent operating margins in calendar year 2010."
- 10. Under the terms of the offer, HP, through HP Vision, offered to buy all the outstanding shares of Autonomy for £25.50 (\$42.11) per share in cash.
- 11. On or about October 3, 2011, when all conditions relating to the offer had been satisfied, HP's acquisition of Autonomy closed and HP acquired control of Autonomy. At or about that time, HUSSAIN became an employee of HP.
- 12. On or about October 3, 2011, HUSSAIN owned or controlled approximately 399,274 shares of Autonomy. After the acquisition closed, and his shares were acquired by HP, HUSSAIN made at least \$7.7 million.

E. Autonomy's Financial Statements

- 13. From in or about 2004 to in or about July 2011, Autonomy issued quarterly and annual financial statements to the investing public in the United Kingdom, the United States, and other places. In its statements to the public, Autonomy said that the financial statements were prepared in accordance with regulations for public companies in the United Kingdom. Autonomy also stated that its annual financial statements were audited, and its quarterly financial statements were reviewed, by an independent auditor in the United Kingdom.
- 14. In its quarterly and annual reports, Autonomy stated that its financial statements had been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") and, in particular, the accounting requirements for revenue recognition defined by International Accounting Standard 18 –

Revenue ("IAS 18"). In its quarterly and annual financial statements, Autonomy made statements about the "revenue" it recognized in the quarter and its "gross margin," an alleged measure of its profitability.

15. For example, Autonomy, in its quarterly financial statements, claimed to have revenue (in millions of U.S. dollars) and a gross margin in the approximate amounts specified below:

<u>Quarter</u>	Revenue	Gross Margin
Q1 2009	\$129.8	90%
Q2 2009	\$195.2	88%
Q3 2009	\$191.6	85.6%
Q4 2009	\$223.1	89.4%
Q1 2010	\$194.2	88.9%
Q2 2010	\$221.1	86.3%
Q3 2010	\$210.6	87.6%
Q4 2010	\$244.5	86.3%
Q1 2011	\$219.8	88.3%
Q2 2011	\$256.3	87.1%

16. In its annual reports and elsewhere, Autonomy held itself out as a "pure software" company. For example, in its 2009 annual report, Autonomy claimed it "operates in the realm of pure software." It stated: "Autonomy is one of the very rare examples of a pure software model."

F. HP Relied on Autonomy's Financial Statements

17. Between January and August 2011, the defendant and others acting on behalf of Autonomy gave and otherwise provided Autonomy's financial statements and documents reflecting Autonomy's results for the year ended 2009, the year ended 2010, the first half of 2011, and other periods to persons at, or acting on behalf of, HP in the course of HP's consideration of whether to buy Autonomy and, if so, for what price.

18.

6 7

9

10

8

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

and disclosures made in Autonomy's historically reported financial statements including, but not limited to, Autonomy's claims about its financial performance and revenues and its claim to be a "pure software" company with high gross margins.

The Scheme to Defraud

Among other information, HP relied on the accuracy and truthfulness of the statements

- 19. In or about Autonomy's first quarter 2009, which began in January of 2009, defendant HUSSAIN, together with others, engaged in a fraudulent scheme to deceive purchasers and sellers of Autonomy securities about the true performance of Autonomy's business, its financial condition, and its prospects for growth.
- 20. The objectives of the scheme to defraud were, among other things, (a) to ensure that Autonomy reported that it had met or exceeded projected quarterly results for, among other things, revenue, gross margin, net income, and earnings per share, (b) to maintain and increase defendant's position within the company, and to enrich himself and others through bonuses, salaries, and options, and (c) to artificially increase and maintain the share price of Autonomy securities to, among other things, make Autonomy attractive to potential purchasers.
- In or about early 2011, HUSSAIN, and others, met with representatives of HP about a 21. potential acquisition of Autonomy by HP. At or about that time, HUSSAIN, and others, used Autonomy's false and misleading financial statements from 2009, 2010, and early 2011 to make Autonomy more attractive to a potential purchaser like HP.
- 22. In furtherance of the scheme to defraud, HUSSAIN, and others, used a variety of means and methods, including:
- Artificially inflating revenues by, among other things, (i) backdating written agreements to record revenue in prior periods; (ii) recording revenue on contracts that were subject to side letters or other agreements with contingencies or other terms impacting revenue recognition; (iii) improperly recording revenue for reciprocal or roundtrip transactions whereby Autonomy granted a software license to a counterparty which purchased product or services from Autonomy at a greater price; (iv) improperly recording revenue where all of the criteria in IFRS, IAS 18, and Autonomy's

revenue recognition policy had not been satisfied; and (v) structuring or restructuring contracts to accelerate revenue recognition while reducing future recurring revenue;

- b. Making false and misleading statements to Autonomy's independent auditor about the facts and circumstances of transactions allegedly supporting the recognition of revenue, expenses, costs, and other items in Autonomy's financial statements, including, but not limited to, (i) backdating contracts, invoices, agreements, and other documents in order to make it appear that they had been reached with a counterparty in a prior period; (ii) falsely representing, among other things, that Autonomy's auditors had been provided all relevant information and that there were no undisclosed side letters; and (iii) making false and misleading statements about whether the criteria in IFRS, IAS 18, and Autonomy's revenue recognition policy had been satisfied;
- c. Making false and misleading statements to market analysts covering Autonomy about its financial statements, the true performance of its business, its financial condition, and its prospects for growth;
- d. Making false and misleading statements to Autonomy's regulators in response to inquiries about its financial statements;
- e. Making false and misleading statements that Autonomy was a "pure software" company while concealing the fact that Autonomy was engaged in hidden, loss-making, resales of hardware, separate from its disclosed practice of selling "appliances";
 - f. Making and causing fraudulent entries to Autonomy's books and records;
 - g. Issuing materially false and misleading quarterly and annual reports; and
- h. Intimidating, pressuring, and paying off persons who raised complaints about or openly criticized Autonomy's financial practices and performance.
- 23. As part of the scheme to defraud, HUSSAIN, and others, caused Autonomy to make materially false and misleading statements directly to HP regarding Autonomy's financial condition, performance, and business, including:
- a. Making false and misleading statements regarding the nature of Autonomy's products and concealing Autonomy's non-appliance hardware sales;

- b. Making false and misleading statements regarding Autonomy's top customers;
- c. Making false and misleading statements regarding Autonomy's top contracts; and
- d. Making other false and misleading statements during HP's "due diligence" about Autonomy prior to announcing the acquisition.

<u>COUNT ONE</u>: (18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud)

- 24. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 23 are re-alleged and incorporated by
- 25. Beginning in or about Autonomy's first quarter 2009, which began in January of 2009, and continuing until in or about October 2011, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

SUSHOVAN TAREQUE HUSSAIN,

and others, did knowingly conspire to devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud as to a material matter and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by concealment of material facts, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice and attempting to do so, did transmit, and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

Means and Methods of the Wire Fraud Conspiracy

- 26. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the objectives thereof, on or about the dates listed below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, HUSSAIN, and others, used the following means and methods, among others:
- a. On or about April 23, 2009, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the first quarter of 2009.
- b. On or about July 16, 2009, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the second quarter of 2009.
- c. On or about October 20, 2009, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the third quarter of 2009.

- d. On or about December 31, 2009, Autonomy entered into a First Amendment to License and Distribution Agreement with a counterparty in the United States whereby the counterparty licensed "EDD" or electronic data discovery software for approximately \$4 million plus maintenance and support.
- e. On or about January 1, 2010, HUSSAIN called an officer of a counterparty in the United States that Autonomy was about to acquire and asked if the counterparty would agree to a transaction to license software from Autonomy, which ultimately was recorded as revenue in the fourth quarter of 2009.
- f. On or about January 4, 2010, HUSSAIN caused a side letter to be signed respecting a transaction ultimately recorded as revenue in the fourth quarter of 2009.
- g. On or about February 3, 2010, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the fourth quarter (year-end) of 2009.
- h. On or about February 22, 2010, Autonomy issued its Annual Report and Accounts for the year ended 31 December 2009.
- i. On or about March 10, 2010, Autonomy paid a counterparty \$1,425,000, by means of a check written on an account maintained at a financial institution in San Francisco, for "EDD Processing" that was not performed.
- j. On or about April 1, 2010, an Autonomy officer in the United States called the principal of a counterparty in the United States and asked if the counterparty would agree to a transaction to license software from Autonomy, which ultimately was recorded as revenue in the first quarter of 2010.
- k. On or about April 21, 2010, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the first quarter of 2010.
- 1. On or about April 21, 2010, an Autonomy officer told the market and others following Autonomy securities "[w]e have very little interest in just selling hardware . . . what we are not doing here is acting as a generic company that resells hardware like Morse or something like that. Obviously, those people do that business and we have no interest in it."

- m. On or about July 22, 2010, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the second quarter of 2010.
- n. On or about July 28, 2010, HUSSAIN caused Autonomy to fire a finance officer in the United States who questioned whether Autonomy's financial statements were accurately stated.
- o. On or about October 19, 2010, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the third quarter of 2010.
- p. On or about December 29, 2010, HUSSAIN directed Autonomy employees to prepare a side letter for a transaction that would not otherwise close in the fourth quarter of 2010.
- q. On or about January 18, 2011, HUSSAIN caused an Autonomy employee in San Francisco to backdate a draft, unexecuted license agreement with a counterparty.
- r. On or about January 26, 2011, HUSSAIN caused an Autonomy officer to e-mail a backdated license agreement to Autonomy's independent auditors.
- s. On or about February 1, 2011, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the fourth quarter (year-end) of 2010.
- t. On or about February 22, 2011, while in the United States, HUSSAIN directed an Autonomy officer to sign, on Hussain's behalf, a management representation letter to Autonomy's independent auditors stating that there were no side letters excluded from Autonomy's signed sales contracts.
- u. On or about February 22, 2011, Autonomy issued its Annual Report and Accounts for the year ended 31 December 2010.
- v. On or about March 4, 2011, while in Palo Alto, HUSSAIN participated in a video conference among participants in Palo Alto and the United Kingdom to present financial and other information about Autonomy to HP.
- w. On or about April 4, 2011, HUSSAIN caused a counterparty in the United States to prepare and backdate an agreement to license Autonomy software, which ultimately was recorded as revenue in the first quarter of 2011.

- x. On or about April 14, 2011, HUSSAIN met in San Francisco with the counterparty and an Autonomy officer and discussed a backdated licensing agreement.
- y. On or about April 21, 2011, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the first quarter of 2011.
- z. On or about July 27, 2011, Autonomy issued a press release about, among other things, its financial performance in the second quarter of 2011.
- aa. On or about August 4, 2011, HUSSAIN caused Autonomy to provide to HP and its advisors false and misleading listings of Autonomy's top contracts and customers.
- bb. On or about August 18, 2011, while in San Francisco, and to induce the offer by HP and HP Vision, HUSSAIN executed a letter irrevocably undertaking to accept the offer, agreeing to recommend the offer to others, and warranting that all information provided by him for inclusion in any document issued in connection with the offer was true and accurate in all respects and not misleading in any respect.
- cc. On or about October 17, 2011, HP Vision wired £439,030,699.34 from Citibank account xx291, in the name of Hewlett-Packard Vision B.V., to Royal Bank of Scotland account xx035, in the name of Capita Registrars Limited Crest Clearing Account.
- dd. On or about October 17, 2011, HP Vision wired £127,335,580.50 from Citibank account xx291, in the name of Hewlett-Packard Vision B.V., to Royal Bank of Scotland account xx615, in the name of Capita Registrars Ltd Re: Hewlett-Packard Vision B.V./Autonomy Corporation Plc Takeover Cash Consideration A/C.
- ee. On or about October 31, 2011, HP Vision wired £130,874,158.22 from Citibank account xx291, in the name of Hewlett-Packard Vision B.V., to Royal Bank of Scotland account xx035, in the name of Capita Registrars Limited Crest Clearing Account.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

COUNTS TWO THROUGH FIFTEEN: (18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud)

27. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 26 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference.

28. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

SUSHOVAN TAREQUE HUSSAIN

did knowingly, and with intent to defraud, devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud as to a material matter and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by concealment of material facts, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice and attempting to do so, did transmit, and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, namely:

COLINIE	DATE	DECODIDATION
COUNT	DATE	DESCRIPTION
TWO	1/26/2011	E-mail from J.S. in the Northern District of California to S.C.
		dated 1/26/2011 regarding "FW: autn_boa"
THREE	2/1/2011	Press release titled "Autonomy Corporation plc Announces
		Results for the Year Ended December 31, 2010," distributed
		from Cambridge, England, to the Northern District of California
FOUR	2/3/2011	Video conference involving participants in Palo Alto, California,
		and the United Kingdom
FIVE	3/4/2011	Video conference involving participants in Palo Alto, California,
		and the United Kingdom
SIX	4/4/2011	E-mail from M.H. to S.E. in the Northern District of California
		dated 4/4/2011 regarding "Prisa VAR"
SEVEN	4/21/2011	Press release titled "Autonomy Corporation plc Trading Update
		for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2011," distributed from the
		United Kingdom to the Northern District of California
EIGHT	7/27/2011	Press release titled "Autonomy Corporation plc Announces
2.0111	''-''-	Interim Results for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011,"
		distributed from United Kingdom to the Northern District of
		California
NINE	8/1/2011	Conference call to United States toll free number (866) 409-
1,11,12	0/1/2011	2889 by multiple numbers in the Northern District of California
		and United Kingdom
TEN	8/2/2011	Conference call to United States toll free number (866) 409-
11511	0/2/2011	2889 by multiple numbers in the Northern District of California
		and United Kingdom
ELEVEN	8/3/2011	Conference call to United States toll free number (866) 409-
ELE VEIN	0/3/2011	2889 by multiple numbers in the Northern District of California
		and United Kingdom
	l	and Office Kingdom

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COUNT	DATE	DESCRIPTION	
TWELVE	8/4/2011	Conference call to United States toll free number (866) 409-	
		2889 by multiple numbers in the Northern District of California	
		and United Kingdom	
THIRTEEN	8/4/2011	E-mail from A.H. in the United Kingdom to F.M. and others in	
		the Northern District of California regarding "Project Daniel	
		1Room" attaching "Data Room Updates 509381013.4DOC"	
FOURTEEN	8/5/2011	E-mail from A.K. to M.S. and others in the Northern District of	
		California regarding "RE: Tesla: Updated Legal DD Questions"	
FIFTEEN	10/4/2011	E-mailed letter from Capita Registrars in the United Kingdom to	
		M.S. and A.J. in the Northern District of California, requesting	
		payments of £5,445,493,678.35 and £24,065,825.50	

Each in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2.

COUNT SIXTEEN:

(18 U.S.C. §§ 1348 and 2 – Securities Fraud)

- The allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 28 are realleged and incorporated as if fully set 29. forth here.
- 30. In or about August 2011, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

SUSHOVAN TAREQUE HUSSAIN,

did knowingly and intentionally execute, and attempted to execute, a scheme and artifice (a) to defraud any person in connection with securities of HPQ, an issuer with a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and (b) to obtain, by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, money and property in connection with the purchase and sale of securities of HPQ, an issuer with a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and did aid and abet in the same.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1348 and 2.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION:

(18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C) & 982(a) & 28 U.S.C. § 2461 – Criminal Forfeiture)

31.

The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 30 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections

981(a)(1)(C) and 982(a), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.

27

32. Upon conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Sixteen, the 1 2 defendant, 3 SUSHOVAN TAREQUE HUSSAIN, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C) and 4 982(a), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461, any property, real and personal, which 5 constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to said violations, including but not limited to a sum of, 6 7 not less than \$7.7 million, representing the amount of proceeds obtained as a result of the offenses 8 alleged in Counts One through Sixteen. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant, any of said property 9 33. a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; 10 b. has been transferred or sold to or deposited with a third person; 11 12 c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; d. has been substantially diminished in value; or 13 e. has been commingled with other property, which cannot be divided without difficulty; 14 any and all interest defendant has in any other property shall be forfeited to the United States, pursuant 15 to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C) and 982(a), and Title 28, United States Code, 16 Section 2461. 17 /// 18 19 /// 20 |/// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 |/// /// 25 26 /// 27 28

Case 3:16-cr-00462-CRB Document 52 Filed 05/04/17 Page 16 of 16

1	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C) and 982(a), and Title 28
2	United States Code, Section 2461.
3	DATED: May 4, 2017 A TRUE BILL
4	
5	Kare Pellams
6	FOREPĚRSON
7 8	ALEX TSE Attorney for the United States, Acting Under Authority Conferred By
9	28 U.S.C. § 515
10	Barbar Waller
11	BARBARA J. VALLIERE Chief, Criminal Division
12	
13	(Approved as to form:
14	AUSA ROBERT S. LEACH
15 16	(Approved as to form: AUSA ADAM A. REEVES
17	TIODITIDINITI KBB VB
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	